Inte	rviev	v Si	ım	ma	n
IIILG	I VIGV	v JL	,,,,,	ula	ı v

Application No. 09/405,653

Applicant(s)

Nie et al

Examiner

Chris Chin

Art Unit 1641

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) Chris Chin	(3)				
(2) Salim Hasan					
Date of Interview May 6, 2002					
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes	e) 🛮 No. If yes, brief description:				
Claim(s) discussed: 1					
Identification of prior art discussed:  All of record.					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:					
Applicant's agreed to the deletion of "an amino substituent" from claim 1 by Examiner's amendment to overcome the Weiss et al reference as suggested by the Examiner.					
,	27 377				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).					
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required				